## The Degree of Approximation to Periodic Functions by Linear Positive Operators

## O. SHISHA AND B. MOND

Aerospace Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433

1. P. P. Korovkin [1] has recently proved some remarkable results concerning the convergence of sequences  $(L_n f)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , where the  $L_n$  are linear positive operators. For example, if  $L_n f$  converges uniformly to f in the particular cases  $f(t) \equiv 1, f(t) \equiv t, f(t) \equiv t^2$ , then it does so for every continuous, real f. Or, if  $L_n(f)$  converges uniformly to f for  $f(t) \equiv 1$ ,  $\cos t$ ,  $\sin t$ , it does so for every continuous,  $2\pi$ -periodic, real f.

2. In a very recent paper [2], the authors have recast Korovkin's results in a quantitative form. One of their results (Theorem 3 of [2]) was given there as, essentially, a special case of a more general theorem. In the present note, we shall restate this Theorem 3 and, for the reader's convenience, give its full proof. We then apply it to an important special case.

3. A linear positive operator is a function L having the following properties.

a. The domain D of L is a nonempty set of real functions, all having the same real domain T.

b. For every  $f \in D$ , L(f) is again a real function with domain T.

c. If f and g belong to D, and if a and b are reals, then  $af + bg \in D$ , and

$$L(af+bg) = aL(f) + bL(g).$$

d. If  $f \in D$ , and  $f(x) \ge 0$  for every  $x \in T$ , then  $(Lf)(x) \ge 0$  for every  $x \in T$ . Consequently, if L is a linear positive operator and f,  $g \in D$ , then  $f \le g$  throughout T implies  $Lf \le Lg$  there, and  $|f| \le g$  throughout T implies  $|Lf| \le Lg$  there.

4. THEOREM [2]. Let  $L_1, L_2, ...$  be linear positive operators, whose common domain D consists of real functions with domain  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . Suppose 1, cos x,  $\sin x$ , f belong to D, where f is an everywhere continuous,  $2\pi$ -periodic function, with modulus of continuity  $\omega$ . Let  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ , and suppose that for n = 1,  $2, ..., L_n(1)$  is bounded in [a,b]. Then for n = 1, 2, ...,

$$||f - L_n f|| \le ||f|| \cdot ||L_n(1) - 1|| + ||L_n(1) + 1||\omega(\mu_n),$$
(1)
335

where (see Remark b)

$$\mu_n = \pi \left\| \left( L_n \sin^2 \frac{t - x}{2} \right)(x) \right\|^{1/2}, \tag{2}$$

and || || stands for the sup norm over [a,b]. In particular, if  $L_n(1) = 1$ , as is often the case, (1) reduces to

$$||f-L_nf|| \leq 2\omega(\mu_n). \tag{3}$$

*Remarks.* a. In forming  $L_n \sin^2[(t - x)/2]$  in (2) and below, t is the variable. b. Observe that (2) implies, for n = 1, 2, ...,

$$\mu_n^2 \leq (\pi^2/2) [||1 - L_n(1)|| + ||\cos x|| \cdot ||\cos x - (L_n \cos t)(x)|| + ||\sin x|| \cdot ||\sin x - (L_n \sin t)(x)||].$$

Hence, if  $L_n(F)$  converges uniformly to F in [a,b] for  $F(t) \equiv F_0(t) \equiv 1$ ,  $F(t) \equiv F_1(t) \equiv \cos t$ ,  $F(t) \equiv F_2(t) \equiv \sin t$ , then  $\mu_n \to 0$  and we have a simple estimate of  $\mu_n$  in terms of  $||F_k - L_n F_k||$ , k = 0, 1, 2.

*Proof of the Theorem.* Let  $x \in [a, b]$ , let  $\delta$  be a positive number and let t be real. If  $\delta < |t - x| \leq \pi$ , then  $|t - x| \leq \pi \sin [|t - x|/2]$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - f(x)| &\leq \omega(|t - x|) = \omega(|t - x|\delta^{-1}\delta) \\ &\leq (1 + |t - x|\delta^{-1})\omega(\delta) \\ &\leq [1 + (t - x)^2\delta^{-2}]\omega(\delta) \\ &\leq \left[1 + (\pi/\delta)^2\sin^2\frac{t - x}{2}\right]\omega(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

The resulting inequality

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \leq \left[1 + (\pi/\delta)^2 \sin^2 \frac{t - x}{2}\right] \omega(\delta)$$
(4)

holds, obviously, if  $|t-x| \leq \delta$ . If  $|t-x| > \pi$ , let k be an integer such that  $|(t+2k\pi)-x| \leq \pi$ ; then

$$|f(t) - f(x)| = |f(t + 2k\pi) - f(x)| \le \left[1 + (\pi/\delta)^2 \sin^2 \frac{t + 2k\pi - x}{2}\right] \omega(\delta)$$
$$= \left[1 + (\pi/\delta)^2 \sin^2 \frac{t - x}{2}\right] \omega(\delta).$$

Thus, (4) always holds. Let n be a positive integer. Then

$$|[L_n f - f(x)L_n(1)](x)| \leq \left[ \left( L_n(1) + \delta^{-2} \pi^2 L_n \sin^2 \frac{t-x}{2} \right)(x) \right] \omega(\delta)$$
$$\leq [L_n(1)(x) + (\mu_n/\delta)^2] \omega(\delta).$$

336

If  $\mu_n > 0$ , take  $\delta = \mu_n$ . Then

$$|[L_n f - f(x) L_n(1)](x)| \le ||L_n(1) + 1|| \omega(\mu_n), |-f(x) + f(x) L_n(1)(x)| \le ||f|| \cdot ||L_n(1) - 1||.$$
(5)

Adding, we obtain (1). If  $\mu_n = 0$ , we have for every positive  $\delta$ ,  $|[L_n f - f(x)L_n(1)](x)| \leq \omega(\delta)L_n(1)(x)$ . Letting  $\delta \to 0 + 0$ , we obtain  $(L_n f)(x) = f(x)L_n(1)(x)$ . Thus, by (5),  $|(f - L_n f)(x)| \leq ||f|| \cdot ||L_n(1) - 1||$ , which implies (1).

5. Let D be the set of all real functions with domain  $(-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $2\pi$ periodic and everywhere continuous. For  $n = 1, 2, ..., \text{let } \rho_1^{(n)}, \rho_2^{(n)}, ..., \rho_n^{(n)}$  be
given reals, and consider the operator  $L_n$  with domain D, defined by

$$(L_n\phi)(x) \equiv \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \rho_k^{(n)}[a_k\cos(kx) + b_k\sin(kx)],$$
(6)

where

$$\phi(x) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos(kx) + b_k \sin(kx).$$

Assume that for n = 1, 2, ... and every real x,

$$\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \rho_k^{(n)} \cos(kx) \ge 0.$$
 (7)

Since for n = 1, 2, ... and every  $\phi \in D$ ,

$$(L_n\phi)(x) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(t) \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \rho_k^{(n)} \cos\{k(t-x)\} \right] dt,$$
(8)

each  $L_n$  is a linear positive operator with  $L_n(1) = 1$ . Also, for n = 1, 2, ..., we have

$$\left(L_n \sin^2 \frac{t-x}{2}\right)(x) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(1-\rho_1^{(n)}\right).$$

Let  $f \in D$  have modulus of continuity  $\omega$ . Setting  $\sigma_n(x) \equiv (L_n f)(x)$ , we have by (3),

$$\max_{-\infty < x < \infty} |f(x) - \sigma_n(x)| \le 2\omega (\pi [2^{-1}(1 - \rho_1^{(n)})]^{1/2}), \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots, \qquad (9)$$

and in particular,  $\sigma_n(x)$  converges uniformly to f(x) in  $(-\infty, \infty)$  if  $\rho_1^{(n)} \to 1$ .

The uniform convergence of  $\sigma_n(x)$  to f(x) in  $(-\infty, \infty)$  under the condition  $\rho_1^{(n)} \to 1$  was proved by P. P. Korovkin ([1], [3]). He has also shown [1] that for n = 1, 2, ... and for every positive  $\delta$ ,

$$\max_{-\infty < x < \infty} |f(x) - \sigma_n(x)| \le \omega(\delta) \{1 + \pi \delta^{-1} [2^{-1} (1 - \rho_1^{(n)})]^{1/2} \}.$$
(10)

For n = 1, 2, ..., let

$$M_n = \inf_{\delta > 0} \omega(\delta) \{ 1 + \pi \delta^{-1} [2^{-1} (1 - \rho_1^{(n)})]^{1/2} \},$$
(11)

so that the best estimate derivable from (10) is

$$\max_{-\infty < x < \infty} |f(x) - \sigma_n(x)| \leq M_n.$$
(12)

We show now that (12) is essentially the same estimate as (9). We start by observing that

$$\omega([1-\rho_1^{(n)}]^{1/2}) \leq M_n \leq 2\omega(\pi [2^{-1}(1-\rho_1^{(n)})]^{1/2}), \qquad n=1, 2, \dots$$
(13)

Indeed, let *n* be a positive integer. To prove the last two inequalities, we may assume  $1 - \rho_1^{(n)} > 0$ . The right inequality in (13) is obtained from (11) by taking  $\delta = \pi [2^{-1}(1 - \rho_1^{(n)})]^{1/2}$ . To prove the left inequality of (13), we shall show that for every  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\omega([1-\rho_1^{(n)}]^{1/2}) \leq \omega(\delta)\{1+\pi\delta^{-1}[2^{-1}(1-\rho_1^{(n)})]^{1/2}\}.$$

We may clearly assume  $\delta < (1 - \rho_1^{(n)})^{1/2}$ . Then,  $\omega([1 - \rho_1^{(n)}]^{1/2}) = \omega([1 - \rho_1^{(n)}]^{1/2})^{1/2}$  $\delta^{-1}\delta) \leq [1 + (1 - \rho_1^{(n)})^{1/2}\delta^{-1}] \omega(\delta) \leq 2\delta^{-1}(1 - \rho_1^{(n)})^{1/2}\omega(\delta)$ . So,  $\omega(\delta)\{1 + \pi\delta^{-1} [2^{-1}(1 - \rho_1^{(n)})]^{1/2}\} \geq \omega(\delta) + 2^{-3/2}\pi\omega([1 - \rho_1^{(n)}]^{1/2}) \geq \omega([1 - \rho_1^{(n)}]^{1/2})$ . From (13) it follows that for every positive *K* and for n = 1, 2, ...,

$$\frac{1}{K+1}\omega(K[1-\rho_1^{(n)}]^{1/2}) \leq \omega([1-\rho_1^{(n)}]^{1/2}) \leq M_n \leq 2\omega\left(\frac{\pi}{K\sqrt{2}}K[1-\rho_1^{(n)}]^{1/2}\right)$$
$$\leq 2\left[1+\frac{\pi}{K\sqrt{2}}\right]\omega(K[1-\rho_1^{(n)}]^{1/2}).$$

Thus, for every positive K, the sequences  $M_n$  and  $\omega(K[1 - \rho_1^{(n)}]^{1/2})$  are of the same order of magnitude. In particular, (9) and (12) are essentially the same estimate. Also, if the left-hand side of (10) is positive for n = 1, 2, ..., then the choice  $\delta = K(1 - \rho_1^{(n)})^{1/2}$  in the right-hand side of (10), n = 1, 2, ..., where K is any positive constant, can be considered an optimal choice. Taking  $K = \pi/\sqrt{2}$ , the resulting inequalities (10) reduce to (9).

6. Example. Let D be as in the first sentence of Section 5. For n = 1, 2, ..., consider the operator  $L_n$  with domain D, defined by

$$(L_n\phi)(x) \equiv \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(n!)^2}{(n-k)!(n+k)!} [a_k \cos{(kx)} + b_k \sin{(kx)}],$$

where

$$\phi(x) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos(kx) + b_k \sin(kx).$$

338

For  $n = 1, 2, ..., \text{the } (L_n \phi)(x)$  are trigonometric polynomials introduced by de la Vallée-Poussin [4]. They have the following representation:

$$(L_n\phi)(x) \equiv (n!)^2 \left[2\pi(2n)!\right]^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(t) \left(2\cos\frac{t-x}{2}\right)^{2n} dt.$$
(14)

Thus, for  $n = 1, 2, ..., L_n \phi$  is of the form (6), and as is seen by comparing, for the present case, (8) with (14), (7) holds for every real x. Let  $f \in D$  have modulus of continuity  $\omega$ , and set  $\sigma_n(x) \equiv (L_n f)(x)$ . Since now  $\rho_1^{(n)} = n/(n+1), n = 1, 2, ...,$  we have by (9),

$$\max_{-\infty < x < \infty} |f(x) - \sigma_n(x)| \leq 2\omega \left(\frac{\pi}{[2(n+1)]^{1/2}}\right)$$

Thus, we have obtained the (known) result ([5], [6]), that for some universal constant C,

$$\max_{-\infty < x < \infty} |f(x) - \sigma_n(x)| \leq C\omega(n^{-1/2}) \qquad (n = 1, 2, \ldots)$$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank Professor R. Bojanic for his valuable remarks.

## REFERENCES

- 1. P. P. KOROVKIN, "Linear Operators and Approximation Theory," Chapters I, II. (Russian, 1959.) [English translation: Hindustan Publishing Corp., Delhi, 1960.]
- 2. O. SHISHA AND B. MOND, The degree of convergence of sequences of linear positive operators. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sciences U.S.A.* 60 (1968), 1196–1200.
- 3. P. P. KOROVKIN, On convergence of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions (in Russian). *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR* **90** (1953), 961–964.
- 4. Ch.-J. DE LA VALLÉE-POUSSIN, Sur l'approximation des functions d'une variable réelle et de leurs dérivées par des polynômes et les suites limitées de Fourier. Bulletins de la Classe des Sciences, Académie Royale de Belgique (1908), 193-254.
- 5. I. P. NATANSON, "Constructive Function Theory" Part 1, (Russian, 1949.) [English translation: Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York (1964).] Chapter X.
- I. P. NATANSON, On some estimations connected with singular integral of C. de la Vallée-Poussin. Comptes Rendus (Doklady) de l'Académie des Sciences de l'URSS 45 (1944), 274-277.